

Available online at www.SciMedJournal.org

**SciMedicine Journal** 

(ISSN: 2704-9833)

Vol. 4, No. 4, December, 2022



# Antibacterial Property and Bioactive Compounds of Selected Herbal Products

V. O. Oyetayo <sup>1</sup>\*<sup>©</sup>, O. A. Balogun <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

Received 13 September 2022; Revised 09 November 2022; Accepted 19 November 2022; Published 01 December 2022

## Abstract

Ten herbal products, made up of five powdery and five liquid samples of different brands, were assessed microbiologically for the presence and types of microorganisms. Qualitative and quantitative phytochemical screening of the samples above was also conducted to verify the presence or absence of bioactive components. Ten bacterial species, viz: *Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella typhi, Shigella dysenteriae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Corynebacterium diptheriae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Clostridium botulinum, were isolated from these herbal products. The fungi isolated were <i>Aspergillus Niger, Rhizopus stolonifer, Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus flavus, Fusarium verticillioides, Fusarium oxysporium, and Mucor racemosus.* Results of phytochemical screening revealed the presence of flavonoids, saponins, terpenoids, and tannins. Alkaloid was the most abundant in the samples, with a value of 1070.04 mg/100g in sample F, while the least abundant in sample F was phenol (0.38 mg/100g). The GC-MS analysis revealed the presence of certain compounds such as thiophene, propanoic acid, 2,2-dimethyl-, ethyl ester, pentanoic acid, 2-methyl, toluene, and many others in sample F that exhibited significant antimicrobial effects. These compounds are known to possess antimicrobial properties. Results from this study revealed that, though these herbal products contain bioactive compounds with potential antimicrobial and antioxidant properties, they are contaminated with microorganisms of health importance. Hence, local herbalists preparing these herbal products need to be educated on good manufacturing practices (GMP).

Keywords: Herbal; Microbial; Contamination; Antimicrobial; Bioactives.

# 1. Introduction

The increasing resistance of microorganisms to available antimicrobial drugs and the attendant side effects have recently shifted attention to herbal medicine. Herbal drugs are crude preparations made from the leaf, stem, root, flower, seed, or combination of these plant parts used in treating various forms of ailments. Plants and spices have been used in medicine since ancient times [1, 2]. This is because they are more accessible to many people and the raw material is readily available and cheap when compared to synthetic drugs [3, 4]. Herbal medicines are being used by about 80% of the world's population, primarily in developing countries, for primary health care [5–7]. Developed countries are also not left out in the utilization of herbal products for health care [8, 9]. Herbal medicines, however, have shortcomings such as imprecise diagnosis and dosage, a lack of aseptic conditions during production, and a lack of scientific proof of their efficacy [3, 7]. Globally, the herbal medicinal products market has been growing steadily, and issues of their safety have also been of concern [9]. Though the efficacy of some of these herbal products cannot be doubted, some are yet to be tested and their use properly monitored [9]. It is therefore expedient to know the potential side effects of these products [10].

\* Corresponding author: vooyetayo@futa.edu.ng

doi http://dx.doi.org/10.28991/SciMedJ-2022-04-04-03

© Authors retain all copyrights.

<sup>&</sup>gt; This is an open access article under the CC-BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### SciMedicine Journal

Common contaminants associated with herbal preparations are heavy metals, pesticides, microbes, and their toxins [11]. Metals like mercury, cadmium, and lead have been reported at levels above the required levels in some herbal medicines [12–14]. Metal toxicity has been linked with the following pathophysiological effects: neurological behavioral effects, cardiac dysfunction, fetal malformations, Alzheimer's disease, and Parkinson's disease [15–19]. Moreover, microbial contaminants of health importance have also been reported in herbal medicine. Factors such as unhygienic conditions during preparation, transportation, and marketing are responsible for the contamination of herbal products. Moreover, plants are known to serve as a source of nutrients for the growth and survival of microorganisms [20].

The use of herbal drugs in Nigeria has been on the rise. Most producers of these herbal preparations often attach various health benefits that have not been scientifically proven to their products [3, 7]. The study area is Akure City (7°  $15' 0'' N 5^{\circ} 11' 42''$ ) in Akure South Local Government Area of Ondo State, Nigeria. The market for herbal products is booming, with an annual trade fair for herbal products being held in the city. The present study therefore focused on the isolation and identification of microbial contaminants as well as the bioactives present in different herbal products collected from different points of sale in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Collection of Herbal Samples

Herbal samples, 5 sachets and 5 bottles, were randomly bought at the trade fair center, herbal store, and Motor Park within Akure City, Ondo State, Nigeria. The labels on the herb containers show that they are for the treatment of the following ailments: typhoid fever, sexually transmitted diseases, piles, stomach aches, diabetes, headaches, skin infections, and toothaches, among others.

## 2.2. Microbial Analysis of Herbal Products

Isolation of microorganisms in samples was carried out by serially diluting 1g and 1 mL, respectively, of powder and liquid herbal products in peptone water, and portions (0.1 ml) of the 10<sup>-4</sup> dilution were separately inoculated on nutrient agar and potato dextrose agar plates. The plates were incubated at the appropriate temperature and time for bacteria and fungi. After incubation, colony-forming units on the plates were counted, and discreet colonies were further subcultured to obtain pure colonies. Conventional cultural and colonial characteristics, followed by batteries of biochemical tests, were used for the identification of the isolates [21].

#### 2.3. Assessment of Antimicrobial Activity of Herbal medicines

The test bacteria were inoculated into tubes of peptone water separately and incubated for 4 hours. Each of the cultures was then adjusted to the McFarland turbidity standard by diluting with normal saline and inoculating 0.2 ml each onto Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid). A cork borer of 6 mm diameter was used to make four (4) wells into the already seeded agar plates, and different concentrations of the herbal preparations (0.5 ml) were introduced into the wells using sterile Pasteur pipettes. The plates were incubated at the appropriate temperature and time. After incubation, zones of inhibition on the plates were measured in mm.

### 2.4. Qualitative and Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis of Herbal Products

The methods of Harbone [22] and Parekh & Chanda [23] were used to determine the presence of phytochemicals in the herbal products.

## 2.5. Identification of Bioactive compounds in Herbal Products

The bioactive components and their percentage of occurrence in the extract of sample F that possess significant antibacterial effects were evaluated using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrophotometer (GC-MS).

## 2.6. Statistical analysis

Results of experiments were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance and means compared with Duncan's multiple range tests (p<0.05).

## **3. Results**

The bacterial load of the herbal product samples ranged from  $2.26 \times 10^5$  to  $1.40 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml (Table 1), while the fungal load ranged from  $3.6 \times 10^3$  to  $3.0 \times 10^3$  sfu/ml (Table 2). The microorganisms found in the herbal drug samples were *Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella typhi, Shigella dysenteriae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Corynebacterium diptheriae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Clostridium* 

### SciMedicine Journal

botulinum, Aspergillus Niger, Rhizopus stolonifer, Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus flavus, Fusarium verticillioides, Fusarium oxysporium, and Mucor racemosus. The occurrence of the microbial isolates in the different herbal drugs is presented in Tables 3a and 3b. Shigella dysenteriae (31.58%) was the highest occurring bacteria in the herbal drug, followed by Bacillus cereus (15.79%), while A. niger, A. flavus, and Rhizopus stolonifer were the fungi that had the highest occurrence.

| Sample Code | Bacterial Load (cfu/ml) |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| А           | 2.26×10 <sup>5</sup>    |
| В           | $7.60 \times 10^4$      |
| С           | 3.0×10 <sup>5</sup>     |
| D           | 1.36×10 <sup>5</sup>    |
| Е           | 2.96×10 <sup>5</sup>    |
| F           | 2.40×10 <sup>5</sup>    |
| G           | 1.63×10 <sup>5</sup>    |
| Н           | $1.70 \times 10^{5}$    |
| Ι           | 1.33×10 <sup>5</sup>    |
| J           | $1.40 \times 10^{5}$    |

Table 1. Total viable bacterial count of selected herbal products sold in Akure, Nigeria

 $\begin{array}{l} Key: + = present, - = absent, A = Herbal drug for malaria; B = Herbal drug for typhoid; C = Herbal drug for blood infection; D = Herbal drug for body pain; E = Herbal drug for pile; F = Herbal drug for eye ulcer; G = Herbal drug for blood cleansing (a); H = Herbal drug for inflammation; I = Herbal drug for typhoid; J = Herbal drug for blood cleansing (b) \end{array}$ 

| Table 2. Total | viable fungal | count of se | elected herba | l products sol | ld in Akure, | Nigeria |
|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|---------|

| Sample Code | Fungal Load (sfu/ml) |
|-------------|----------------------|
| А           | 3.6×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| В           | 4.3×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| С           | 4.6×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| D           | 2.0×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| Е           | 8.0×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| F           | 6.0×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| G           | 3.0×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| Н           | 5.3×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| Ι           | 4.0×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
| J           | 3.0×10 <sup>3</sup>  |
|             |                      |

 $\begin{array}{l} A = Herbal \; drug \; for \; malaria; \; B = Herbal \; drug \; for \; typhoid; \; C = \\ Herbal \; drug \; for \; blood \; infection; \; D = Herbal \; drug \; for \; body \; pain; \; E = \\ Herbal \; drug \; for \; pile; \; F = Herbal \; drug \; for \; eye \; ulcer; \; G = Herbal \; drug \\ for \; blood \; cleansing \; (a); \; H = Herbal \; drug \; for \; inflammation; \; I = \\ Herbal \; drug \; for \; typhoid; \; J = Herbal \; drug \; for \; blood \; cleansing \; (b) \end{array}$ 

Table 3a. Occurrence of bacteria isolated from herbal samples

| Bacterial isolates | A | B | С | D | Е | F | G | Н | I | J | Frequency (%) |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|
| B. subtilis        | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1(5.26)       |
| S. aureus          | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | 3(15.79)      |
| S. typhi           | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | 2(10.53)      |
| S. dysenteriae     | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | + | - | 6(31.58)      |
| P. aeruginosa      | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | 2(10.53)      |
| C. diptheriae      | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1(5.26)       |
| S. pyogenes        | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1(5.26)       |
| E. cloacae         | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | 1(5.26)       |
| K. pneumoniae      | - | - | - | - | - |   | + | - | - | - | 1(5.26)       |
| C. botulinum       | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | 1(5.26)       |

| Fungal isolates    | Α | В | С | D | Е | F | G | Н | Ι | J | Frequency (%) |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------|
| A. niger           | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | 2(20.00)      |
| R. stolonifer      | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | 2(20.00)      |
| A. alternata       | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1(10.00)      |
| A. flavus          | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | 2(20.00)      |
| F. verticillioides | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1(10.00)      |
| F. oxysporium      | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | 1(10.00)      |
| M. racemosus       | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | 1(10.00)      |

 Table 3b. Occurrence of fungi isolated from herbal samples

The isolated microorganisms exhibited varying sensitivity to the herbal samples in the agar well diffusion assay (Tables 4a and 4b). Liquid herbal preparations exhibited inhibitory activity against *Salmonella thyphi* at 25% concentration, except liquid sample D. Other bacteria inhibited by the liquid herbal samples include *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Shigella dysentariaea* at concentrations ranging from 50 to 100%. On the other hand, the reconstituted powdered samples inhibited all the isolates except *Clostridium botulinum*, which was inhibited by samples F and J at 100% concentration. The inhibitory effect of powdered herbal sample F was more pronounced on all the isolates at 100% concentration, with inhibition zones ranging from 4.31mm to 14.06mm. The qualitative phytochemical screening showed that the following compounds: flavonoids, saponins, terpenoids, and tannins are present in the herbal samples (Tables 5a and 5b), and the quantitative result showed that alkaloids were the most abundant in sample F with a value of 1070.04 mg/100 g. GC-MS results also revealed that thiophene, propanoic acid, 2,2-dimethyl-, ethyl ester, pentanoic acid, 2-methyl, toluene, and many other compounds are present in the herbal drug (Sample F) that showed significant antimicrobial effects (Table 6).

 Table 4a. Inhibitory Effect of Liquid Herbal Medicines against Bacterial Isolates

| н | erb         |                        |                          |                          |                          |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |                        |
|---|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|   | nples<br>%) | B. subtilis            | S. aureus                | S. typhi                 | S.<br>dysenteriae        | P.<br>aeruginosa       | C.<br>diptherae        | S.<br>pyogenes         | E. cloacae             | K.<br>pneumoniae       | C.<br>botulinum        |
|   | 25          | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $6.02{\pm}0.01^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^a$      |
|   | 50          | 0.00±0.00ª             | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | 7.31±0.33 <sup>ab</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    |
| А | 75          | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $7.02{\pm}0.04^{ab}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00 \pm 0.00^a$      |
|   | 100         | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$      | 10.10±0.16 <sup>b</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    |
|   | 25          | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$      | 10.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |
| _ | 50          | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | 12.01±0.07 <sup>c</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |
| В | 75          | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$        | 20.22±0.26 <sup>e</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |
|   | 100         | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$      | $26.00{\pm}0.00^{g}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |
|   | 25          | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 6.34±0.15°               | 11.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 9.06±0.11 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |
| _ | 50          | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | 7.31±0.44 <sup>c</sup>   | 13.21±0.14 <sup>c</sup>  | 14.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    |
| С | 75          | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | 11.01±0.06 <sup>e</sup>  | $16.04{\pm}0.20^{d}$     | $16.00{\pm}0.00^d$       | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |
|   | 100         | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 13.11±0.14               | 20.01±0.10 <sup>e</sup>  | 22.11±0.15 <sup>e</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |
|   | 25          | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 8.03±0.06 <sup>d</sup>   | 0.00±0.00ª               | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.00±0.00ª             | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |
|   | 50          | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | 12.01±0.11e              | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |
| D | 75          | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | 18.11±0.41 <sup>g</sup>  | 7.06±0.31 <sup>ab</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |
|   | 100         | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $22.02{\pm}0.02^h$       | 12.02±0.22 <sup>c</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |
|   | 25          | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 4.00±0.05 <sup>b</sup>   | 7.00±0.00 <sup>ab</sup>  | 8.04±0.43 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |
| - | 50          | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | 9.02±0.13 <sup>d</sup>   | 13.11±0.16 <sup>c</sup>  | 12.21±0.11c              | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$      | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        |
| Е | 75          | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | $13.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm f}$ | 16.31±0.05 <sup>d</sup>  | 16.09±0.31 <sup>d</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$      | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        |
|   | 100         | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 18.10±0.04 <sup>g</sup>  | $22.04{\pm}0.32^{\rm f}$ | $24.06{\pm}0.02^{\rm f}$ | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    |

Values are presented as mean±SE, values in the same column carrying same superscript are not different significantly according to new Duncan's Multiple Range test at p<0.05.

A = Herbal drug for malaria; B = Herbal drug for typhoid; C = Herbal drug for blood infection; D = Herbal drug for body pain; E = Herbal drug for pile; F = Herbal drug for eye ulcer; G = Herbal drug for blood cleansing (a); H = Herbal drug for inflammation; I = Herbal drug for typhoid; J = Herbal drug for blood cleansing (b).

## Table 4b. Inhibitory Effect of Powdered Herbal Medicines against Bacterial Isolates

| Herb | Samples |                        |                         |                         | Mean zo                 | ne of inhibition (r     | nm) of herbs agai       | inst bacteria          |                            |                         |                         |
|------|---------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|      | %)      | B. subtilis            | S. aureus               | S. typhi                | S.<br>dysenteriae       | P.<br>aeruginosa        | C.<br>diphtheriae       | S. pyogenes            | E. cloacae                 | K.<br>pneumoniae        | C.<br>botulinum         |
|      | 25      | 1.06±0.21 <sup>b</sup> | 4.52±0.17 <sup>c</sup>  | $3.42{\pm}0.41^{b}$     | 4.06±0.14 <sup>c</sup>  | 1.36±0.11 <sup>b</sup>  | $1.00{\pm}0.00^{\rm b}$ | 3.53±0.17°             | $2.05{\pm}0.16^{\text{b}}$ | 3.31±0.43 <sup>b</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
| F    | 50      | 3.00±0.22°             | 4.34±0.05°              | 6.50±0.32°              | 9.00±0.00 <sup>e</sup>  | 4.01±0.12 <sup>c</sup>  | $3.16{\pm}0.01^{bc}$    | 8.03±0.21 <sup>d</sup> | 4.36±0.05 <sup>d</sup>     | 5.00±0.00°              | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$       |
| F    | 75      | 3.00±0.05 <sup>c</sup> | 7.90±0.11°              | 10.00±0.00 <sup>e</sup> | $14.15 \pm 0.31^{f}$    | 7.33±0.25 <sup>d</sup>  | 4.31±0.17°              | $8.16{\pm}0.05^{d}$    | 7.07±0.81°                 | 9.00±0.00 <sup>e</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
|      | 100     | 4.31±0.33 <sup>d</sup> | $10.05{\pm}0.06^{f}$    | 14.06±0.11g             | 15.03±0.07 <sup>f</sup> | 9.18±0.07 <sup>e</sup>  | 8.04±0.36 <sup>d</sup>  | 10.18±0.04e            | 10.00±0.00                 | 12.32±0.15 <sup>f</sup> | 10.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup> |
|      | 25      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 7.44±0.21°              | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 6.22±0.03 <sup>d</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  |
| 6    | 50      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 11.13±0.44 <sup>f</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $10.00{\pm}0.00^{f}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 0.00±0.00ª              |
| G    | 75      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 13.00±0.00g             | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 14.14±0.56 <sup>g</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
|      | 100     | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$      | $16.05 \pm 0.11^{i}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $20.06{\pm}0.15^{h}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
|      | 25      | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$      | 6.33±0.02 <sup>d</sup>  | 2.04±0.05 <sup>b</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 0.00±0.00ª              | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 0.00±0.00ª              |
|      | 50      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 12.26±0.56g             | 3.02±0.03 <sup>b</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
| Н    | 75      | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$      | 14.17±0.14 <sup>h</sup> | 8.21±0.31 <sup>d</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
|      | 100     | $0.00\pm0.00^a$        | $22.04{\pm}0.07^j$      | 10.05±0.04°             | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$       | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
|      | 25      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 8.07±0.11 <sup>d</sup>  | 2.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.00±0.00ª              | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | $0.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00ª                 | 0.00±0.00ª              | 0.00±0.00ª              |
| Ŧ    | 50      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 2.99±0.44 <sup>b</sup>  | 12.11±0.32 <sup>f</sup> | 6.17±0.02 <sup>d</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
| Ι    | 75      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 4.41±0.07 <sup>c</sup>  | 14.01±0.07 <sup>g</sup> | 10.08±0.08 <sup>e</sup> | 0.00±0.00ª              | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 0.00±0.00ª              |
|      | 100     | $0.00\pm0.00^{a}$      | 8.27±0.31°              | $23.00{\pm}0.00^{h}$    | $14.22 \pm 0.21^{f}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
|      | 25      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.06±0.06 <sup>c</sup>  | 2.20±0.04 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.00±0.00ª              | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.00±0.00ª              | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  |
| T    | 50      | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$    | 3.16±031 <sup>b</sup>   | 9.01±0.12 <sup>e</sup>  | $6.00 \pm 0.00^{d}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 1.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup> | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     |
| J    | 75      | 4.43±0.21 <sup>d</sup> | 6.05±0.03 <sup>d</sup>  | 10.11±0.33e             | 10.04±0.21°             | 0.00±0.00ª              | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 5.03±0.20°             | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$        | $4.07 \pm 0.04^{b}$     | 0.00±0.00ª              |
|      | 100     | 7.09±0.06e             | 12.07±0.14 <sup>g</sup> | $12.33 \pm 0.09^{f}$    | 10.00±0.00°             | 2.00±0.00 <sup>b</sup>  | $0.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | 7.11±0.25 <sup>d</sup> | 3.31±0.18°                 | 6.16±0.21 <sup>d</sup>  | 2.04±0.11 <sup>b</sup>  |

Values are presented as mean $\pm$ SE, values in the same column carrying same superscript are not different significantly according to new Duncan's Multiple Range test at p<0.05. A = Herbal drug for malaria; B = Herbal drug for typhoid; C = Herbal drug for blood infection; D = Herbal drug for body pain; E = Herbal drug for pile; F = Herbal drug for eve ulcer; G = Herbal drug for blood cleansing (a); H = Herbal drug for inflammation; I = Herbal drug for typhoid; J = Herbal drug for blood cleansing (b).

| Table 5a. Qualitative Phytochemical Application | nalysis of Extract of Plant (Sample F) |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| S/N | Component          | Results |
|-----|--------------------|---------|
| 1   | Alkaloids          | +       |
| 2   | Flavonoids         | ++      |
| 3   | Saponins           | ++      |
| 4   | Tannins            | ++      |
| 5   | Steroids           | -       |
| 6   | Reducing sugars    | +       |
| 7   | Phenols            | ++      |
| 8   | Cardiac glycosides | +       |
| 9   | Terpenoids         | ++      |
| 10  | Proteins           | -       |
| 11  | Anthraquinone      | -       |

++Strong positive test, + = Weak positive test, - = Negative tests

## Table 5b. Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis of Water Extract of Plant (Sample F)

| S/N | Component                         | Value   |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---------|
| 1   | Alkaloids (mg/100 g sample)       | 1070.04 |
| 2   | Flavonoids (mg QE/100g)           | 6.22    |
| 3   | Saponins (mg DE/100 g extract)    | 13.96   |
| 4   | Tannins (mg GAE/100 g extract)    | 1.42    |
| 5   | Carotenoids (mg DE/100 g extract) | 4.39    |
| 6   | Total Phenols (mg GAE/100g)       | 0.38    |
| 7   | Vitamin C (mg AE/100 g extract)   | 9.21    |

| Peak # | RT    | Compounds Name                             | Molecular<br>Formula             | M.W.<br>(AMU) | Peak %<br>area | %<br>Composition | m/z          | Structure  |
|--------|-------|--|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| 1      | 2.14  | Toluene                                    | $C_7H_8$                         | 92            | 1.27           | 2.74             | 39, 91, 92   | $\neg \bigcirc$  |
| 2      | 3.08  | Pentanoic acid, 2-methyl                   | $C_6H_{12}O_6$                   | 116           | 4.45           | 2.16             | 43, 75, 116  |  |
| 3      | 3.78  | 2-Hexen-1-ol, 2-ethyl                      | C8 H16O                          | 128           | 10.97          | 2.28             | 41, 57, 128  | ,#<br>   |
| 4      | 4.95  | 5-Hydroxy-4-octanone                       | $C_8  H_{16} O_2$                | 144           | 5.09           | 2.34             | 43, 55, 144  |  |
| 5      | 5.47  | Heptane, 2,4-dimethyl-                     | $C_6H_{12}O_2$                   | 128           | 1.75           | 2.88             | 43, 85, 128  | $\checkmark \uparrow \uparrow$   |
| 6      | 6.82  | Benzene, 1,3-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-       | $C_{14}H_{22}$                   | 190           | 2.23           | 2.42             | 57, 175, 190 | XOX  |
| 7      | 8.71  | 3-O-Methyl-d-glucose                       | $C_7  H_{14} O_6$                | 194           | 17.32          | 36.03            | 43, 51, 194  |  |
| 8      | 8.98  | Propanoic acid, 2,2-dimethyl-, ethyl ester | $C_7 H_{14} O_2$                 | 130           | 5.09           | 2.03             | 41, 57, 130  | the second secon |
| 9      | 9.42  | Thiophene                                  | $C_4H_4$                         | 8             | 4.45           | 2.18             | 45, 58, 84   | < <u>s</u>   |
| 10     | 10.02 | 2-Ethyl-trans-2-butenal                    | C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O | 98            | 4.37           | 2.24             | 39, 41, 98   | $\mathcal{T}^{\circ}$  |

Table 6. Bioactive components present in sample F as revealed by GCMS analysis

## 4. Discussion

Herbal drugs have been important to the promotion of the health of individuals, communities, and the nation as a whole. Many individuals rely on these drugs for the treatment of their illnesses [24]. Unknown to many of the users is the fact that most, if not all, of these herbal drugs are contaminated with viable cells of microorganisms, most of which are pathogenic and can be detrimental to human health. This study assessed the microbial loads and types of several herbal products. All the herbal samples collected contained viable cells with a bacterial load that ranged from  $2.26 \times 10^5$  to  $1.40 \times 10^5$  cfu/ml (Table 1) and a fungal load that ranged from  $3.6 \times 10^3$  to  $3.0 \times 10^3$  sfu/ml (Table 2). Kneifel *et al.* [20] had earlier reported that raw materials (plants) used in herbal preparations are also a source of nutrients that facilitate the growth and multiplication of microorganisms. Microorganisms are found in plant parts such as roots, stems, bark, and leaves [25, 26]. It has also been observed that the water used for washing and preparing herbal concoctions is not sterile in most cases. Moreover, during drying, microorganisms from air and water sources can contaminate the plant materials used in preparing herbal remedies.

The microorganisms isolated from the herbal drugs are: Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella typhi, Shigella dysenteriae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Corynebacterium diptheriae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Clostridium botulinum, *Aspergillus niger, Rhizopus stolonifer, Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus flavus, Fusarium verticillioides, Fusarium oxysporium,* and *Mucor racemosus* (Tables 3a and 3b). Several researchers working on herbal medicines have also isolated similar groups of microorganisms [3, 7, 26–30]. These microorganisms might have been acquired during the production and sale of these herbal medicines. Oyetayo [3] had earlier reported that the major shortcoming of herbal medicine is production under unhygienic conditions, which enhances contamination.

The inhibitory effects (Tables 4a and 4b) of these herbal drugs on the microbial isolates ranged from 4.31mm to 14.06 mm. The ability of the herbal drugs to inhibit the microorganisms may be a result of tannins, alkaloids, saponin present in them (Tables 5a and 5b). These phytochemical constituents have been reported as precursors for the synthesis of useful drugs [30]. Extracts of plant parts such as leaves, stems, bark, roots, etc. are known to exhibit antimicrobial activities against pathogenic organisms [31–34]. Alli *et al.* [35] listed the following phytochemicals: tannins, phenols, and reducing sugar as being responsible for the observed antibacterial activity of a medicinal plant, *Bidens pilosa*, and can be used for food preservation. These phytochemical components of herbs, viz: alkaloids, flavonoids, essential oils, terpenes, organic acids, coumarins, and lignans, are known to display potential antimicrobial effects, and herbal medicine formulas (HMFs) usually show stronger antibacterial activity than single herbs [7, 36]. In a recent study, Gancho *et al.* [37] reported that herbal medicine had comparable effects to commercially available drugs. Liang *et al.* [36] further suggested that mechanisms of action of herbs and HMFs as antibacterial agents are by damaging cell membranes and walls, inhibiting nucleic acid and protein synthesis, and increasing intracellular osmotic pressure.

#### SciMedicine Journal

Some of the bioactive compounds, such as thiophene, propanoic acid, 2,2-dimethyl-, ethyl ester, pentanoic acid, 2methyl, and toluene, found in these herbal drugs (Table 6) are known to have antioxidant and antimicrobial properties [22, 38, 39]. In a recent study, the presence of these bioactive compounds could therefore make these herbal remedies possess other therapeutic effects. Chaughule & Barve [24] reported that multiple alkaloids/compounds that occur naturally (as opposed to single extracts) exhibit synergistic actions such as antiviral, antibacterial, anti-protozoal, and antioxidant.

## 5. Conclusion

Conclusively, herbal products are patronized by many people in Akure metropolis and by Nigerians as a whole. From the data gathered in this study, most of the herbal products sold in Akure are contaminated with microorganisms. However, antimicrobial effects were observed against some microbial isolates, coupled with the presence of bioactive compounds with potential antimicrobial effects in some of the herbal products. It is important to note that the producers and peddlers of these herbal remedies often attach many health benefits to them that have not been scientifically verified. Moreover, the producers do not adhere to good manufacturing practices while they are producing these drugs. Another issue is the post-production handling of these products. In most cases, the products are carelessly displayed during sales and transportation. It is therefore important to educate the masses on the dangers they could be exposed to as a result of consuming some of these unwholesome herbal products. Hence, producers of these herbal remedies are advised to observe a high level of hygiene during production. Moreover, the herbal products must also be kept in an environment that minimizes contamination during transportation and sales. This will ensure that the herbal products will actually be of benefit to the consumers without any detrimental effects. Appropriate regulatory agencies such as the Standard Organization of Nigeria (SON) and the National Agency for Food Administration and Control (NAFDAC) need to be more proactive in safeguarding the health of the uninformed and unsuspecting masses through regular monitoring of the products.

## **6.** Declarations

## **6.1. Author Contributions**

Conceptualization, V.O.O. and O.A.B.; methodology, V.O.O. and O.A.B.; investigation, V.O.O. and O.A.B.; resources, V.O.O. and O.A.B.; writing—original draft preparation, V.O.O. and O.A.B.; writing—review and editing, V.O.O. and O.A.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

## 6.2. Data Availability Statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

#### 6.3. Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## 6.4. Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

#### 6.5. Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

#### 6.6. Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this manuscript. In addition, the ethical issues, including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, and redundancies have been completely observed by the authors.

# 7. References

- Hitokoto, H., Morozumi, S., Wauke, T., Sakai, S., & Kurata, H. (1978). Fungal contamination and mycotoxin detection of powdered herbal drugs. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 36(2), 252–256. doi:10.1128/aem.36.2.252-256.1978.
- [2] Mayekar, V. M., Ali, A., Alim, H., & Patel, N. (2021). A review: Antimicrobial activity of the medicinal spice plants to cure human disease. Plant Science Today, 8(3), 629–646. doi:10.14719/PST.2021.8.3.1152.
- [3] Oyetayo, V. (2008). Microbial Load and Antimicrobial Property of Two Nigerian Herbal Remedies. African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines, 5(1), 78. doi:10.4314/ajtcam.v5i1.31259.

- [4] Barrett, B., Kiefer, D., & Rabago, D. (1999). Assessing the risks and benefits of herbal medicine: an overview of scientific evidence. Alternative Therapies in Health and Medicine, 5(4), 40.
- [5] Thillaivanan, S., & Samraj, K. (2014). Challenges, constraints and opportunities in herbal medicines-a review. International Journal of Herbal medicine, 2(1), 21-24.
- [6] Foroughi, A. (2022). A review on medicinal plants; an emphasis on antimicrobial effects. Veterinary Research & Biological Products, 35(134), 2–17. doi:10.22092/vj.2021.353171.1809.
- [7] Chiegeiro, O., Obakpororo, A., Nnenna, F., & Simson, O. (2022). Microbial Quality and Antimicrobial Potential of some Herbal Remedies Marketed in Owerri-West Nigeria. African Journal of Health Sciences, 35(4), 537–549.
- [8] Braun, L. A., Tiralongo, E., Wilkinson, J. M., Spitzer, O., Bailey, M., Poole, S., & Dooley, M. (2010). Perceptions, use and attitudes of pharmacy customers on complementary medicines and pharmacy practice. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 10(38). doi:10.1186/1472-6882-10-38.
- [9] Ekor, M. (2014). The growing use of herbal medicines: issues relating to adverse reactions and challenges in monitoring safety. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 4. doi:10.3389/fphar.2013.00177.
- [10] WHO. (2002). Traditional Medicine Strategy (2002-2005). World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland.
- [11] Tapsel, L. C., Hemphill, I. & Cobiac, L. (2006). Health Benefits of Herbs and Spices. The Past, the Present, the Future. Medicine Journal Australia, 185 (4), 24.
- [12] Caldas, E. D., & Machado, L. L. (2004). Cadmium, mercury and lead in medicinal herbs in Brazil. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 42(4), 599–603. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2003.11.004.
- [13] Ang, H. H., & Lee, K. L. (2006). Contamination of mercury in Tongkat Ali Hitam herbal preparations. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 44(8), 1245–1250. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2006.01.014.
- [14] Ichinoe, M., Konuma, H., Kartastisna, A., & Satake, M. (1988). Microbial contamination of traditional herbal drugs in Indonesia. Eisei Shikenjo hokoku. Bulletin of National Institute of Hygienic Sciences, (106), 18-24.
- [15] Frustaci, A., Magnavita, N., Chimenti, C., Caldarulo, M., Sabbioni, E., Pietra, R., Cellini, C., Possati, G. F., & Maseri, A. (1999). Marked elevation of myocardial trace elements in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy compared with secondary cardiac dysfunction. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 33(6), 1578–1583. doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(99)00062-5.
- [16] Vimy, M. J., Takahashi, Y., & Lorscheider, F. L. (1990). Maternal-fetal distribution of mercury (203Hg) released from dental amalgam fillings. American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology, 258(4), R939–R945. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1990.258.4.r939.
- [17] Cornett, C. R., Markesbery, W. R., & Ehmann, W. D. (1998). Imbalances of trace elements related to oxidative damage in Alzheimer's disease brain. NeuroToxicology, 19(3), 339–346.
- [18] Ngim, C. H., & Devathasan, G. (1989). Epidemiologic study on the association between body burden mercury level and idiopathic Parkinson's disease. Neuroepidemiology, 8(3), 128–141. doi:10.1159/000110175.
- [19] Boyd, N. D., Benediktsson, H., Vimy, M. J., Hooper, D. E., & Lorscheider, F. L. (1991). Mercury from dental "silver" tooth fillings impairs sheep kidney function. American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology, 261(4 30-4), 1010–1014. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1991.261.4.r1010.
- [20] Kneifel, W., Czech, E., & Kopp, B. (2002). Microbial contamination of medicinal plants A review. Planta Medica, 68(1), 5– 15. doi:10.1055/s-2002-20060.
- [21] McCance, M. E., & Harrigan, W. F. (2000). Laboratory methods in food and dairy microbiology. Blackwell Science, Hoboken, United States.
- [22] Harborne, A.J. (1998). Phytochemical methods a guide to modern techniques of plant analysis. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- [23] Jigna, P., & Sumitra, C. (2008). Phytochemical screening of some plants from western region of India. Plant Archives, 8(2), 657-662.
- [24] Chaughule, R. S., & Barve, R. S. (2023). Role of herbal medicines in the treatment of infectious diseases. Vegetos. doi:10.1007/s42535-022-00549-2.
- [25] Adeleye, I. A., Okogi, G., & Ojo, E. O. (2005). Microbial contamination of herbal preparations in Lagos, Nigeria. Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition, 23(3), 296.
- [26] Braide, W., Sokari, T. G., Nwaoguikpe, R. N., & Okorondu, S. I. (2008). Microbes from soils associated with metamorphosing moth larvae. Current Trends in Microbiology, 4, 11-14.

- [27] Bahri, R., Ghanadi, A. & Rahimipour, E. (2001). Microbial control of some Iranian herbal drugs. The Iranian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences 4(1): 1-6.
- [28] Okunlola, A., Adewoyin, B. A., & Odeku, O. A. (2007). Evaluation of Pharmaceutical and Microbial Qualities of Some Herbal Medicinal Products in South Western Nigeria. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 6(1), 661–670. doi:10.4314/tjpr.v6i1.14644.
- [29] Limyati, D. A., & Juniar, B. L. L. (1998). Jamu Gendong, a kind of traditional medicine in Indonesia: The microbial contamination of its raw materials and endproduct. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 63(3), 201–208. doi:10.1016/S0378-8741(98)00082-8.
- [30] Aziz, N. H., Youssef, Y. A., El-Fouly, M. Z., & Moussa, L. A. (1998). Contamination of some common medicinal plant samples and spices by fungi and their mycotoxins. Botanical Bulletin of Academia Sinica, 39, 279–285.
- [31] Sofowora, A. (1993). Medicinal plant and traditional medicine in Africa. Ibadan-Owerri-Kaduna-Lagos. Spectrum Book Ltd, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- [32] Sudhakar, M., Rao, C. V., Rao, P. M., & Raju, D. B. (2006). Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of Cleome viscosa and Gmelina asiatica. Fitoterapia, 77(1), 47–49. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2005.08.003.
- [33] Khan, M. R., Omoloso, A. D., & Barewai, Y. (2006). Antimicrobial activity of the Derris elliptica, Derris indica and Derris trifoliata extractives. Fitoterapia, 77(4), 327–330. doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2006.03.007.
- [34] Oyetayo, V. O., & F. L. Oyetayo. (2006). Phytochemical Screening and Antibacterial Properties of Siam Weed, Chromolaena odorata, Leaf against Aerobic Isolates of Wound. International Journal of Applied Environmental Science, 2(1), 7–11.
- [35] Omotanwa, A. N., Kenneth, E. I., Owuna, J. E., Stella, O. S., Anne, D. N., & Obiekezie, S. O. (2023). Antibacterial activity and phytochemical screening of some medicinal plant extracts against bacteria isolated from food materials sold in Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 22(1), 321-329. doi:10.30574/gscbps.2023.22.1.0027.
- [36] Liang, J., Huang, X., & Ma, G. (2022). Antimicrobial activities and mechanisms of extract and components of herbs in East Asia. RSC Advances, 12(45), 29197–29213. doi:10.1039/d2ra02389j.
- [37] Gancho, O., Moshel, T., Boychenko, O., Bublii, T., Kostyrenko, O., Popovich, I., Kolomiyets, S., & Krutikova, A. (2022). Herbal Medicines Antimicrobial Effect. Georgian Medical News, 7(328–329), 81–84.
- [38] Brown, J. E., & Rice-Evans, C. A. (1998). Luteolin-rich artichoke extract protects low density lipoprotein from oxidation in vitro. Free Radical Research, 29(3), 247–255. doi:10.1080/10715769800300281.
- [39] Kim, H. J., Park, J. M., Kim, J. A., & Ko, B. P. (2008). Effect of herbal Ephedra Sinica and Evodia rutaecarpa on body composition and resting metabolic rate: A randomized, double-blind clinical trial in Korean premenopausal women. JAMS Journal of Acupuncture and Meridian Studies, 1(2), 128–138. doi:10.1016/S2005-2901(09)60033-9.